# SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, JULY 12, 1999 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S074181 People, Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

Carl William Weiss, Defendant and Appellant

We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal and disapprove *People* v. *Koch*, *supra*, 209 Cal.App.3d 770, to the extent it is inconsistent with this opinion.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

S080015 James Michael MacPhee, Petitioner

V.

Orange County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

Due to a clerical error, the petition for review filed June 23, 1999, is hereby ordered stricken.

S006547 People, Respondent

v

David J. Carpenter, Appellant

The order filed on June 18, 1999, is amended to read as follows:

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including July 26, 1999.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

# S014200 People, Respondent

v.

Jon Scott Dunkle, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 7, 1999.

# S021331 People, Respondent

V.

Curtis Lee Ervin, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's response to appellant'supplemental brief is extended to and including August 9, 1999.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

# S026700 People, Respondent

V.

Andrew Lamont Brown, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 7, 1999.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

# S034800 People, Respondent

v.

Richard De Hoyos, Appellant

The court construes counsel's "Motion for Enlargement of Time for Correction of the Record" at page 3, paragraph 5, as representing that "[b]arring unexpected events" he anticipates serving and filing the motion to correct within 60 days. Based upon this understanding, the motion for an extension of time is granted to and including September 7, 1999.

# S040471 People, Respondent

v.

Milton Ray Pollock, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including September 7, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for

appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

### S046117 People, Respondent

v.

Ernest Dwayne Jones, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including September 22, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

#### S069685 In re Curtis F. Price

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 7, 1999.

#### S070879 In re Mauricio Rodriguez Silva

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 10, 1999.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

### S074001 In re Joseph Flores

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including July 14, 1999.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

# S076281 In re Raymond H. Robinson

on

Habeas Corpus

The application of petitioner for a request for extension of time to file the reply to the informal response is hereby DENIED.

# S077861 Wendy Fox et al., Appellants

v.

Richard J. Kramer, M.D. et al., Respondents

On application of respondent, Good Samaritan Hospital, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including August 18, 1999.

## S078119 Hector Rosales, Appellant

v.

Depuy Ace Medical Company, Respondent

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including August 6, 1999.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

#### S077112 People, Respondent

v.

Gustavo Rodriguez et al., Appellants

Upon request of appellant **Carlos Jose Lopez** for appointment of counsel, William Cipriola is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

#### S080028 Christopher E. Bravot, Petitioner

v.

Ventura County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

S080330 Trevor Johnson, Petitioner

V.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S072196

In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys Pursuant to Rule 962 California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the suspension of **Earl F. Tritt III** pursuant to our order filed on February 1, 1999, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

S080260

In the Matter of the Resignation of **Dan Wayne Gordon**A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Dan Wayne Gordon** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)